

Anti-Rip Clothing Scrutiny Questions



General information

Month of reporting	<i>Please write</i>
Custody record number	<i>Please write</i>
Custody suite	<i>Please write</i>
Decision maker¹	<i>Please write</i>

Basic information²

Gender	(Delete as needed) Male Female Non-Binary Trans
Ethnicity	<i>Please write</i>
Age	<i>Please write</i>

Anti-Rip/Anti-Harm Suits Questions³

Did the detainee engage with the risk questions?	(Delete as needed) Yes No No detail found in record Comments:
Was the detainee assessed as at risk of self-harm?	(Delete as needed) Yes No Did not answer questions Comments:
Was consideration given to the <u>level</u> of risk the detainee posed to themselves (low/med/high)?	(Delete as needed) Yes No No detail found in record Comments:

¹ We encourage this to be recorded so that forces/scrutineers can pass back good practice and/or learning points to individual members of custody staff.

² We encourage this information to be recorded to ensure that the demographics of detainees for whom anti-rip is a feature of detention can be examined for disproportionality.

³ Please note that these are suggested questions only but represent the basic information we suggest is required in order to RAG rate a use of anti-rip clothing effectively.

<p>Was consideration given to the <u>nature</u> of the risk posed? (is the risk immediate, is it from ligature making?)</p>	<p>(Delete as needed) Yes No No detail found in record Comments:</p>
<p>Was the detainees own clothing removed by force?</p>	<p>(Delete as needed) Yes No NA No detail found in record</p>
<p>Was anti-harm clothing/suit provided to the detainee?</p>	<p>(Delete as needed) Yes No NA No detail found in record</p>
<p>Were efforts made to maintain the detainee's dignity during the process of clothing removal and anti-rip clothing being provided?</p>	<p>(Delete as needed) Yes No NA No detail found in record</p>
<p>Were there continuing risk assessments, reviewing the need for the detainee to remain in anti-rip clothing?</p>	<p>(Delete as needed) Yes No NA No detail found in record</p>
<p>Does the record contain evidence of de-escalation, distraction items or other methods of reducing the detainees risk level?</p>	<p>(Delete as needed) Yes No NA No detail found in record</p>
<p>Does the record contain evidence of of the clothing being removed and replaced with custody clothing or the detainees own clothes if risk allows?</p>	<p>(Delete as needed) Yes No NA No detail found in record</p>
<p>Please provide any general comments for feeding back to the scrutiny panel/force.</p>	<p><i>Please write detail in here, using the information from the answers to the above to indicate a colour from the RAG rating detailed below.</i></p>

RAG Rating for Custody Records

Reason for Rating	Follow Up Action
Records identifiable for scrutiny, full rationale for use of suit which is both justifiable and proportionate to risk posed.	No further action required at this point.
Use of anti-rip clothing regularly reviewed and removed as soon as practicable.	
Clear attempts of de-escalation, distraction items etc. being used to mitigate risk of detainee DSH.	
Little or unclear justification for the use of anti-rip clothing.	Advice/further training given to custody staff.
Insufficient information to determine the proportionality of the use of anti-rip clothing.	
Risk information present, but detainee left in anti-rip for elongated periods of time, no evidence of de-escalation prior to use.	
Use of clothing recorded but no further information to establish justification or proportionality.	Further exploration required as to use/rationale. Cases to be raised with custody staff for learning and improved practice.
Anti-rip clothing used in absence of risk information due to detainee non-compliance with assessment but no other rationale.	
Anti-rip clothing used by force with no further information recorded.	